

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/622,043 CHEN, DE FU	
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Bastianelli	3754

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) John Bastianelli.

(3) _____.

(2) Mark L. Yaskanin.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 July 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22-23

Prior art documents discussed:

Van der Sanden

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner spoke to the applicant to indicate the allowability of the claims 1-21, and suggest amending claim 22 to include the protrusion being across from the passageway and claim 23 to remove "or above" regarding the transverse opening in order to allow those claims as well. The applicant agreed to these changes. See Notice of Allowability. .